UAT Evaluation UI and UX design questions

1. Question 1: On a scale of 1-10, how visually appealing did you find each design? (1 being not appealing at all, 10 being very appealing)
2. Question 2: On a scale of 1-10, how clear and easy to understand was the information displayed on each design? (1 being not clear at all, 10 being very clear)
3. Question 3: How accessible and understandable were the touchpoints/buttons in the designs? (1 being not accessible at all, 10 being very accessible)
4. Question 4: Which design made you feel the most confident in performing machine maintenance tasks? (Please rank them from 1-3)
5. Question 5: On a scale of 1-10, how likely are you to choose each design if you had to use one for an actual maintenance task? (1 being not likely at all, 10 being very likely)
6. Question 6: On a scale of 1-10, how would you rate the overall user experience for each design? (1 being poor experience, 10 being excellent experience)
7. Question 7: Do you have any other feedback or suggestions for improving the AR application for non-specialist users in machine maintenance? (Open-ended)

**Question 7 answers:**

1. User 1: In apps focused on functionality, clarity is paramount. This encompasses easy-to-follow instructions and clear images. Conversely, aesthetic appeal, while nice, is secondary.
2. User 2: An attractive user interface undoubtedly enhances the brand's professional image. However, when used for machine troubleshooting or maintenance, UI aesthetics should not overshadow usability.
3. User 3: Based on the designs, Designs 2 and 3 have centrally placed, prominent buttons that improve initial usability. However, after repeated usage, the layout becomes less critical.
4. User 4: Ensuring clear instructions and buttons, along with adequately sized text and images, is essential, especially considering the varied screen sizes of user devices.
5. User 5: I'd employ color strategically in the UI to emphasize crucial data or buttons. For instance, the troubleshooting button could stand out with a unique color given its importance.
6. User 6: Regarding user experience, Design 3 stands out due to its ever-present burger menu button, enhancing navigation. Functionality-driven design changes matter while mere textual layout or shape adjustments might not.
7. User 7: Design 3 exudes professionalism aligning with company standards, and enhancing trustworthiness. In contrast, Design 1's minimalist approach centers user attention on functionality. Ultimately, design preference is subjective and varies among users.
8. User 8: All three designs offer clarity and are intuitive, guiding users on subsequent steps effortlessly. The main determinant of user experience is functionality, not necessarily layout.
9. User 9: For machine maintenance, the design's influence on usage is minimal. User experience in this context primarily hinges on the clarity of buttons, menus, and color readability.
10. User 10: The presented designs are direct and devoid of any misleading elements. Their minimalist approach, emphasizing only the essential functions, ensures user clarity and avoids confusion.